

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COAMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. BOX 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nsplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 08/875,424 07/28/1997 KAJ HENRICSON 30-440 3959 23117 06/25/2003 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC EXAMINER 1100 N GLEBE ROAD ALVO, MARC S 8TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 33 1731 DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summ ry	08/875,424	HENRICSON ET AL		
· Office Action Summing	Examiner	Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this account of	St ve Alvo	1731		
- Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 A	<u>pril 2003</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 16, 18, 19, 21, 22, 24-30, 32-46 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-30 and 35-46</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,18,19,21,22 and 32-34</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•		
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional application).		
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) S. Patent and Trademark Office	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) stent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number:

08/875,424 Art Unit: 1731

The Final rejection is withdrawn and the following action given:

Claims 16, 18, 19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 16, step (c) has been amended to "the pH, between about 3-4", this term does not have a proper antecedent, since it is inconsistent with the pH of step (a) which claims a "pH of 2-6". Also claim 22, the term "adding acid to alkali to the pulp" is indefinite. It appears Applicant adjusts the pH of the pulp with an alkali to raise the pH from 3-4 to 4-9.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16, 18, 19, 21, 22 and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP '491 in view of MARECHAL for the reasons set forth in pages 7-9 of the Board of Appeals Decision.

The Board of Appeals in its Decision of October 31, 2002, on pages 8 and 9, stated that EP '491 teaches a pH of up to 5, preferably 2-3, and thereafter raising the pH to 5.0, a treatment temperature of 10 to 100 °C for a time of 1 to 600 minutes, with a drop in kappa number from 15.7 to 7.1 to 8.4. Thus, the additions to claim 16 do not make it patentable. Similarly, the Board states that the amount of peroxide utilized is nothing more than result effective variable (sentence bridging pages 8 and 9). Accordingly, claims 16, 18, 21, 22 and 32 are not allowable

Application/Control Number:

08/875,424

Art Unit: 1731

Page 3

over the art of record. Claim 19; see Example 8 of EP '491 for (O-ADQ-P) sequence, wherein 10-30 kg/ton chlorine dioxide is used (claim 33).

Claims 24-30 and 35-46 are allowed.

When filing an "Official" FAX in Group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file. The "Official" FAX phone numbers for this TC 1700 are:

Non-Final Fax: (703) 872-9310 After-Final FAX: (703) 872-9311.

When filing an "Unofficial" FAX in Group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Unofficial" for Draft Documents and other Communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers. The "Unofficial" FAX phone number for this Art Unit (1731) is (703) 305-7115.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the **primary** examiner should be directed to Steve Alvo whose telephone number is (703) 308-2048. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 6:00 AM - 2:30 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Steve Griffin, can be reached on 703-308-1164.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Group receptionist** whose telephone number is **703-308-0661**.

MSA 6/20/2003

/ STEVE ALVO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1731

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Intervi w Summary	08/875,424	HENRICSON ET	AL
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examin r	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Steve Alvo	1731	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representativ, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Steve Alvo</u> .	(3)		
(2) Mr. Brian Davidson.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 19 June 2003.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed: 16, 18, 19, 21, 22 and 3	<u>32-34</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□] N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Examiner indicated that 22 and 32-34 were not previously rejected.	nature of what was agreed to the Final rejection would be re	if an agreement v <i>moved as claims</i>	/as 18, 19, 21,
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	eed would render ould render the cl	the claims aims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FINTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirer	last Office action has already ILE A STATEMENT OF THE	been filed, APPLI	CANT IS
			7
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signa	iture, if required	



Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during th intriview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where th substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if oth r circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the int rview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check the appropriate box at the bottom of the Form which informs the applicant that the submission of a separate record of the substance of the interview as a supplement to the Form is not required.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of th interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed.
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed.
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on th Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to th examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the xaminer.

Examin rs are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complet and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable on month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examining resolution should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examining resolution resolution, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.